1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	LARRY HEGGEM,	I	
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. C11-1333-RSM	
10	v.	ORDER	
11	SNOHOMISH COUNTY CORRECTIONS, et al.,		
12	Defendants.		
13	Having reviewed the parties' respective motions for summary judgment, the Report and		
14	4 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Brian A. Tsuchida, and any objections or		
15	responses to that, the Court finds and Orders as follows:		
16	(1) The Court DENIES plaintiff's n	notion for summary judgment. Dkt. 54.	
17 18		s' motion for summary judgment as to all ats Miller and Nicholas, and dismisses the matter	
19	with prejudice. Dkt. 115. As to	these defendants Miller and Nicholas, only, the holas' motion for summary judgment limited to	
20	the issue of plaintiff's excessive	force claim; however the other claims against	
21	these two defendants are dismiss	ed.	
22	(3) The Court ORDERS defendants joint status report by August 17,	Miller and Nicholas, and plaintiff to submit a 2012.	
23			

ORDER - 1

1	(4) The Court STRIKES as moot defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Responsi Materials. Dkt. 99.
2	Materials. DRt. 33.
3	(5) The Court DENIES plaintiff's motion for oral argument. Dkt. 157.
4	DATED this 25 day of July 2012.
5	
6	De la
7	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	